

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,601	09/23/2003	Samir S. Soliman	030161	5675
23696 7590 01/23/2007 OUALCOMM INCORPORATED			EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		AKHAVANNIK, HADI	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	. DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/23/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/23/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t ssadik@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.55	10/669,601	SOLIMAN, SAMIR S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hadi Akhavannik	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 No	ovember 2006.					
,						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- 1 4'					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 November 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	0					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/669,601 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 6 of remarks, filed, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in further view of Chippendale et al. (referred to as "Chippendale" herein).

Chippendale discloses adding addressing to packets data in page 131 section titled "Introduction to absolutely addressed picture element coding"). Also, the Examiner notes that paragraph 23 of the applicants specification discloses that addressing is a well known to one skilled in the art.

Please see rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aarnio (6522889) in view of Wantanabe (5528293) in further view of Chippendale et al. (referred to as "Chipendale" herein).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 1, Aarnio discloses a method of determining location information of a wireless device, the method comprising: acquiring an image of a scene (see column 3 lines 15-20, which discloses obtaining a digital image of a scene);

transmitting the prepared image to a processing center configured to process the prepared image to obtain the location information of the wireless device and receiving from the processing center the location information (see figure 3 and column 3 lines 40-49 which discloses transmitting the acquired image. In order to transmit the image the system must prepare the image for transmission. Column 3 line 50 to column 4 line 19 disclose processing the image by using an OCR server and then identifying the location to determine if there is a match in the database.);

and displaying the location information (column 4 lines 22-24 disclose displaying the location information in the form of a map or text message).

Aarnio does not explicitly disclose preparing the acquired image for transmission although Aarnio does disclose using a digital camera to acquire the picture of an area.

Watanabe (5528293), discloses a digital camera that compresses the image of an object into a jpeg format. This functions to prepare the acquired image for transmission (see column 2 lines 27-47, which discloses compressing the image).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in Aarnio an image preparing means as taught by Watanabe. The reason for the combination is because it makes for a more robust system that can more efficiently transmit data because a compressed image size is smaller than a non-compressed image (see motivation by Watanabe in column 2 lines 27-48).

Art Unit: 2624

The combination of Aarnio and Watanabe do not disclose addressing data.

Chippendale discloses adding addressing to packets data in page 131 section titled "Introduction to absolutely addressed picture element coding"). Also, the Examiner notes that paragraph 23 of the applicants specification discloses that addressing is a well known to one skilled in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in the combination of Aarnio and Watanabe addressing as taught by Chippendale. The reason for the combination is because it makes for a more robust system that is able to transmit data in a more reliable and efficient manner (see the motivation by Chippendale in the second paragraph of the above referenced section). Further please note that this is another form of image compression that Watanabe already teaches.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Aarnio and Watanabe disclose image compression (see rejection of claim 1 and column 2 lines 27-47 of Watanabe, which disclose image compression).

Regarding claim 3, please see the rejection of claim 1 above which discloses all aspects of claim 3.

Regarding claim 4, Aarnio discloses processing the acquired image to obtain the location information of the wireless device using at least one algorithm selected from the group consisting of computer vision, image correlation, pattern recognition, image classification, and image recognition (Aarnio discloses using pattern recognition and image comparison in column 4 lines 15-20).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 5, Aarnio discloses displaying the location (see column 4 lines 22-26).

Regarding claim 6, Aarnio discloses a method further comprising receiving aiding information from a remote data center (the examiner is interpreting aiding information to mean helpful location information near the operators locations, see column 6 lines 33-45, which discloses receiving gasoline information that is proximate to a user).

Regarding claim 7, the rejection of claim 6 discloses receiving a location information of gas stations. The location information becomes a database.

Regarding claims 8-11, these are the wireless device claims of claims 1-2 and Aarnio discloses a wireless device in figure 1.

Regarding claim 12-13, these are the wireless device claims of claims 6-7.

Please see the rejection of claims 6-7 and figure 1 of Aarnio above.

Regarding claim 14, Aarnio discloses a wireless device of claim 12, wherein the transmitter is further configured to transmit the location information to a requesting entity (column 4 lines 6-55 disclose transmitting location information to the mobile device).

Regarding claims 15-21, please see the rejection of claims 1-7 as they disclose all aspects of claims 15-21.

1. Claims 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aarnio in view of Chippendale in view of Kim (6278884).

Aarnio and Chippendale discloses all aspects of claim 22 except that they does not disclose a panic button for initiating a location information process; an image capturing device with an adjustable head for acquiring at least one image of a scene in

Art Unit: 2624

response to activation of the panic button. Please note that Aarnio discloses that the camera is located on the portable device. By adjusting the way the user holds the camera the head location becomes adjusted. Therefore, the head is adjustable.

Kim discloses a panic button for initiating a location information process (see Kim, column 3 lines 52-64 which discloses acquiring at least one image of a scene in response to activation of the panic button.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in Aarnio and Chippendale a panic button. The reason for the combination is because it adds an added layer of security to the overall system that allows the system to quickly capture a location by pressing the panic button.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/669,601 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hadi Akhavannik whose telephone number is 571-272-8622. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on (571)272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HA 1/16/06